

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MAINE

CENTRAL MAINE POWER)
COMPANY,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.) Docket No. 1:23-cv-00450-NT
)
 MAINE COMMISSION ON)
 GOVERNMENTAL ETHICS AND)
 ELECTION PRACTICES, et al.,)
)
 Defendants.)

VERSANT POWER and)
ENMAX CORPORATION,)
)
 Plaintiffs,)
)
 v.) Docket No. 1:23-cv-00451-NT
)
 WILLIAM J. SCHNEIDER, et al.,)
)
 Defendants.)

MAINE PRESS ASSOCIATION and)
MAINE ASSOCIATION OF)
BROADCASTERS,)
)
 Plaintiffs,)
)
 v.) Docket No. 1:23-cv-00452-NT
)
 MAINE COMMISSION ON)
 GOVERNMENTAL ETHICS AND)
 ELECTION PRACTICES, et al.,)
)
 Defendants.)

JANE P. PRINGLE, et al.)
Plaintiffs,)
v.) Docket No. 1:23-cv-00453-NT
AARON FREY, et al.,)
Defendants.)

ORDER TO CONSOLIDATE CASES

Plaintiff Central Maine Power Company and the State Defendants have filed a joint motion to consolidate the four related cases captioned above. *See* Joint Mot. Concerning Page Limits and to Consolidate (ECF No. 17 in Docket No. 1:23-cv-00450-NT). All Plaintiffs in each of the four related cases consent to consolidation. Accordingly, the motion is **GRANTED** and the above-captioned actions are hereby **CONSOLIDATED** pursuant to Rule 42 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

In addition, the parties have conferred and agree that the State Defendants should be permitted to file a single opposition memorandum, no more than 75 pages, in response to the motions for preliminary injunction that were filed by the Plaintiffs in each of the cases. It is therefore **ORDERED** that the State Defendants shall file a single memorandum, not to exceed 75 pages, in opposition to the pending motions for injunctive relief. The parties are further **ORDERED** to confer about page limits for the Plaintiffs' reply memoranda and to submit to the Court a proposal, or competing proposals, concerning reply page limits by January 19, 2024.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ Nancy Torresen
United States District Judge

Dated this 9th day of January, 2024.